

### GLENN HEGAR TEXAS COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

P.O. Box 13528 • Austin, TX 78711-3528

March 6, 2017

Troy Seagler
Superintendent
Gruver Independent School District
601 Garrett Street
Gruver, Texas 79040

Re:

Certificate for Limitation on Appraised Value of Property for School District Maintenance and Operations Taxes by and between Gruver Independent School District and Oslo Wind, LLC, Application 1173

### Dear Superintendent Seagler:

On February 15, 2017, the Comptroller issued written notice that Oslo Wind, LLC (applicant) submitted a completed application (Application 1173) for a limitation on appraised value under the provisions of Tax Code Chapter 313. This application was originally submitted on January 18, 2017, to the Gruver Independent School District (school district) by the applicant.

This presents the results of the Comptroller's review of the application and determinations required:

- 1) under Section 313.025(h) to determine if the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C; and
- 2) under Section 313.025(d), to issue a certificate for a limitation on appraised value of the property and provide the certificate to the governing body of the school district or provide the governing body a written explanation of the Comptroller's decision not to issue a certificate, using the criteria set out in Section 313.026.

### Determination required by 313.025(h)

Sec. 313.024(a)	Applicant is subject to tax imposed by Chapter 171.
Sec. 313.024(b)	Applicant is proposing to use the property for an eligible project.
Sec. 313.024(d)	Applicant has requested a waiver to create the required number of new
	qualifying jobs and pay all jobs created that are not qualifying jobs a wage that
	exceeds the county average weekly wage for all jobs in the county where the jobs are located.
Sec. 313.024(d-2)	Not applicable to Application 1173.

Based on the information provided by the applicant, the Comptroller has determined that the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Texas Tax Code, unless otherwise noted.

### Certificate decision required by 313.025(d)

Determination required by 313.026(c)(1)

The Comptroller has determined that the project proposed by the applicant is reasonably likely to generate tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the school district's maintenance and operations ad valorem tax revenue lost as a result of the agreement before the 25th anniversary of the beginning of the limitation period, see Attachment B.

Determination required by 313.026(c)(2)

The Comptroller has determined that the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state, see Attachment C.

Based on these determinations, the Comptroller issues a certificate for a limitation on appraised value. This certificate is contingent on the school district's receipt and acceptance of the Texas Education Agency's determination per 313.025(b-1).

The Comptroller's review of the application assumes the accuracy and completeness of the statements in the application. If the application is approved by the school district, the applicant shall perform according to the provisions of the Texas Economic Development Act Agreement (Form 50-826) executed with the school district. The school district shall comply with and enforce the stipulations, provisions, terms, and conditions of the agreement, applicable Texas Administrative Code and Chapter 313, per TAC 9.1054(i)(3).

This certificate is no longer valid if the application is modified, the information presented in the application changes, or the limitation agreement does not conform to the application. Additionally, this certificate is contingent on the school district approving and executing the agreement by December 31, 2017.

Note that any building or improvement existing as of the application review start date of February 15, 2017, or any tangible personal property placed in service prior to that date may not become "Qualified Property" as defined by 313.021(2) and the Texas Administrative Code.

Should you have any questions, please contact Will Counihan, Director, Data Analysis & Transparency, by email at will.counihan@cpa.texas.gov or by phone toll-free at 1-800-531-5441, ext. 6-0758, or at 512-936-0758.

Sincerely

Deputy Comptroller

Enclosure

cc: Will Counihan

## Attachment A – Economic Impact Analysis

The following tables summarize the Comptroller's economic impact analysis of Oslo Wind, LLC (project) applying to Gruver Independent School District (district), as required by Tax Code, 313.026 and Texas Administrative Code 9.1055(d)(2).

Table 1 is a summary of investment, employment and tax impact of Oslo Wind, LLC.

Applicant	Oslo Wind, LLC
Tax Code, 313.024 Eligibility Category	Renewable Energy Electric Generation
School District	Gruver ISD
Estimated 2015-2016 Average Daily Attendance	407
County	Hansford
Proposed Total Investment in District	\$340,875,000
Proposed Qualified Investment	\$340,875,000
Limitation Amount	\$25,000,000
Qualifying Time Period (Full Years)	2018-2019
Number of new qualifying jobs committed to by applicant *	7
Number of new non-qualifying jobs estimated by applicant	0
Average weekly wage of qualifying jobs committed to by applicant	\$908
Minimum weekly wage required for each qualifying job by Tax Code, 313.021(5)(b)	\$908
Minimum annual wage committed to by applicant for qualified jobs	\$47,235
Minimum weekly wage required for non-qualifying jobs	\$1,097
Minimum annual wage required for non-qualifying jobs	\$57,032
nvestment per Qualifying Job	\$48,696,429
Stimated M&O levy without any limit (15 years)	\$40,061,464
stimated M&O levy with Limitation (15 years)	\$12,151,107
stimated gross M&O tax benefit (15 years)	\$27,910,357
*Applicant is requesting district to waive requirement to create minimum number of qualifying jobs pursuant to Tax Code, 313.025 (f-1).	

Table 2 is the estimated statewide economic impact of Oslo Wind, LLC (modeled).

		Employment			Domanul Inc.	
Year	Direct		T . 1	D: -	Personal Income	
		Indirect + Induced	Total	Direct	Indirect + Induced	Total
2017	51	440	490	\$2,525,000	\$26,650,000	\$29,175,000
2018	161	1,306	1466.8	\$8,020,647	\$83,288,353	\$91,309,000
2019	7	42	49	\$330,647		\$11,841,000
2020	7	1	- 8	\$330,647	\$7,359,353	
2021	7	(25)	-18	\$330,647	\$3,331,353	
2022	7	(40)	-33	\$330,647	\$890,353	
2023	7	(42)	-35	\$330,647	-\$330,647	\$0
2024	7	(44)	-37	\$330,647	-\$1,184,647	-\$854,000
2025	7	(30)	-23	\$330,647	-\$1,795,647	
2026	7	(28)	-21	\$330,647	-\$1,917,647	
2027	7	(27)	-20	\$330,647	-\$1,795,647	
2028	7	(27)	-20	\$330,647	-\$2,039,647	
2029	7	(28)	-21	\$330,647	-\$2,283,647	-\$1,953,000
2030	7	(30)	-23	\$330,647	-\$3,504,647	-\$3,174,000
2031	7	(32)	-25	\$330,647		-\$2,686,000
2032	7	(28)	-21	\$330,647	-\$3,504,647	-\$3,174,000
2033	7	(32)	-25	\$330,647	-\$3,992,647	

Source: CPA REMI, Oslo Wind, LLC

Table 3 examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the region if all taxes are assessed.

Table	Table 3 Estimated Direct Ad Valorem Taxes without property tax incentives									Г	
Year	Estimated Taxable Value for I&S	Estimated Taxable Value for M&O		Gruvers Hill	Gruver ISD M&O Tax Levy	Gruver ISD M&O and I&S Tax Levies	Hansford County Tax Levy	Hansford County Hospital District Tax Levy	North Plains Underground Water Conservation District Tax Levy	Palo Duro River Authority Tax Levy	Estimated Total Property Taxes
2010	2222 (== ===		Tax Rate1	0.2900	010000		0.5403	0.4936	0.0341	0.0140	
2019	\$333,409,838			\$966,889		\$4,501,033	\$1,801,347	\$1,645,648	\$113,846	\$46,677	\$7,948,027
2020	\$325,365,188			\$943,559		\$4,392,430	\$1,757,883	\$1,605,941	\$111,099	\$45,551	\$7,756,254
2021	\$316,706,963			\$918,450	\$3,357,094	\$4,275,544	\$1,711,104	\$1,563,205	\$108,143	\$44,339	\$7,549,854
2022	\$307,298,813	\$307,298,813		\$891,167	\$3,257,367	\$4,148,534	\$1,660,274	\$1,516,769	\$104,930	\$43,022	\$7,325,577
2023	\$297,174,825	\$297,174,825		\$861,807	\$3,150,053	\$4,011,860	\$1,605,576	\$1,466,798	\$101,473	\$41,604	\$7,084,235
2024	\$286,232,738	\$286,232,738		\$830,075		\$3,864,142	\$1,546,458	\$1,412,790	\$97,737	\$40,073	\$6,823,391
2025	\$274,404.375	\$274,404,375		\$795,773	\$2,908,686	\$3,704,4 <u>5</u> 9	\$1,482,552	\$1,354,408	\$93,698	\$38,417	\$6,541,419
2026	\$261,655,650			\$758,801	\$2,773,550	\$3,532,351	\$1,413,673	\$1,291,483	\$89,345	\$36,632	\$6,237,507
2027	\$247,850,213	\$247,850,213		\$718,766	\$2,627,212	\$3,345,978	\$1,339,085	\$1,223,342	\$84,631	\$34,699	\$5,908,405
2028	\$232,953,975	\$232,953,975		\$675.567	\$2,469,312	\$3,144,879	\$1,258,604	\$1,149,817	\$79,544	\$32,614	\$5,553,299
2029	\$216,898,763	\$216,898,763		\$629,006	\$2,299,127	\$2,928,133	\$1,171,861	\$1,070,571	\$74.062	\$30,366	\$5,170,565
2030	\$199,514,138	\$199,514,138		\$578,591	\$2,114,850	\$2,693,441	\$1,077,935	\$984,764	\$68,126	\$27,932	\$4,756,140
2031	\$180,766,013	\$180,766,013		\$524,221	\$1,916,120	\$2,440,341	\$976,643	\$892,227	\$61,724	\$25,307	\$4.309,210
2032	\$160,518,038	\$160,518,038		\$465,502	\$1,701,491	\$2,166,994	\$867,247	\$792,287	\$54,810	\$22,473	\$3,826,527
2033	\$138,633,863	\$138,633,863		\$402,038	\$1,469,519	\$1,871,557	\$749,011	\$684,270	\$47,338	\$19,409	\$3,304,839
- +										7.7.7.2	42,00,1000
			Total	\$10,960,212	\$40,061,464	\$51,021,676	\$20,419,253	\$18,654,318	\$1,290,508	\$529,114	\$90,095,247

Source: CPA, Oslo Wind, LLC <sup>1</sup>Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

**Table 4** examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the school district, Hansford County Hospital District, North Plains Underground Water Conservation District, Palo Duro River Authority and Hansford County, with all property tax incentives sought being granted using estimated market value from the application. The project has applied for a value limitation under Chapter 313, Tax Code and tax abatement with the county.

The difference noted in the last line is the difference between the totals in Table 3 and Table 4.

Table	4 Estimated Dir	ect Ad Valorem	Taxes with	h all property ta	incentives sought						
								Hansford County	North Plains Underground Water	Palo Duro	
	Estimated	Estimated		Gruvers Hill		Gruver ISD	Hansford	Hospital	Conservation	River	1
ĺ	Taxable Value	Taxable Value	1	ISD I&S Tax	Gruver ISD M&O	M&O and I&S	County Tax	District Tax	District Tax	Authority Tax	E-11
Year	for I&S	for M&O		Levy	Tax Levy	Tax Levies	Levy	Levy	Levy	Levy	Estimated Total
			Tax Rate	0.2900	1.0600		0.5403	0.4936			Property Taxes
2019	\$333,409,838	\$25,000,000		\$966,889		\$1,231,889	\$0	\$0.4936	0.0341 \$8,537	0.0140	
2020	\$325,365,188	\$25,000,000		\$943,559		\$1,208,559	\$0	\$0	\$8,537	\$3,500	1112011007
2021	\$316,706,963	\$25,000,000		\$918,450	\$265,000	\$1,183,450	\$0	\$0	\$8,537	\$3,500	
2022	\$307,298,813	\$25,000,000		\$891,167		\$1,156,167	\$0	\$0	\$8,537	\$3,500	
2023	\$297,174,825	\$25,000,000		\$861,807	\$265,000	\$1,126,807	\$0	\$0	\$8,537	\$3,500	\$1,156,167
2024	\$286,232,738	\$25,000,000		\$830,075	\$265,000	\$1,095,075	\$0	\$0	\$8,537	\$3,500	\$1,126,807
2025	\$274,404,375	\$25,000,000		\$795,773	\$265,000	\$1,060,773	\$0	\$0	\$8,537	\$3,500	\$1,095,075
2026	\$261,655,650	\$25,000,000		\$758,801	\$265,000	\$1,023,801	\$0	\$0	\$8,537	\$3,500	
2027	\$247,850,213	\$25,000,000		\$718,766		\$983,766	\$0	\$0	\$8,537	\$3,500	\$1,023,801
2028	\$232,953,975	\$25,000,000		\$675,567	\$265,000	\$940,567	\$0	\$0	\$8,537	\$3,500	\$983,766
2029	\$216,898,763	\$216,898,763		\$629,006	\$2,299,127	\$2,928,133	\$1,171,861	\$1,070,571	\$74,062	\$3,500	\$940,567
2030	\$199,514,138	\$199,514,138		\$578,591	\$2,114,850	\$2,693,441	\$1,077,935	\$984,764	\$68,126	\$30,366	\$5,170,565
2031	\$180,766,013	\$180,766,013		\$524,221	\$1,916,120	\$2,440,341	\$976,643	\$892,227	\$61,724	\$27,932	\$4,756,140
2032	\$160,518,038	\$160,518,038		\$465,502	\$1,701,491	\$2,166,994	\$867,247	\$792,287		\$25,307	\$4,309,210
2033	\$138,633,863	\$138,633,863		\$402,038	\$1,469,519	\$1,871,557	\$749,011	\$684,270	\$54,810	\$22,473	\$3,826,527
					74(107)	41,071,557	3742,011	3004,270	\$47,338	\$19,409	\$3,304,839
			Total	\$10,960,212	\$12,151,107	\$23,111,318	\$4,842,696	\$4,424,119	6301.424	6160.605	
						780,111,010	97,072,070	\$4,424,119	\$391,426	\$160,486	\$32,378,133
			Diff	\$0	\$27,910,357	\$27,910,357	\$15,576,556	\$14,230,200	\$899,082	6269 627	
Assume	s School Value L	imitation and Tax	Abatement	with the County.				# 1 T J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	\$099,082	\$368,627	\$57,717,113
	COLCDA C	N-1 - TA71 1	770								

Source: CPA, Oslo Wind, LLC <sup>1</sup>Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

**Disclaimer:** This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

## Attachment C - Limitation as a Determining Factor

Tax Code 313.026 states that the Comptroller may not issue a certificate for a limitation on appraised value under this chapter for property described in an application unless the comptroller determines that "the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state." This represents the basis for the Comptroller's determination.

### Methodology

Texas Administrative Code 9.1055(d) states the Comptroller shall review any information available to the Comptroller including:

- the application, including the responses to the questions in Section 8 (Limitation as a Determining Factor);
- public documents or statements by the applicant concerning business operations or site location issues or in which the applicant is a subject;
- statements by officials of the applicant, public documents or statements by governmental or industry officials concerning business operations or site location issues;
- existing investment and operations at or near the site or in the state that may impact the proposed project;
- announced real estate transactions, utility records, permit requests, industry publications or other sources that may provide information helpful in making the determination; and
- market information, raw materials or other production inputs, availability, existing facility locations, committed incentives, infrastructure issues, utility issues, location of buyers, nature of market, supply chains, other known sites under consideration.

### Determination

The Comptroller has determined that the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the Oslo Wind, LLC's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state. This is based on information available, including information provided by the applicant. Specifically, the comptroller notes the following:

- Per Oslo Wind, LLC. in Tab 5 of their Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value:
  - A. "The approval of the Project's application for a Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation remains an essential factor in the Project being selected for development by Apex."
  - B. "Apex would like to develop and build its proposed project but, given the number of undetermined variables at this early stage, a Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation Agreement is necessary to make the economics of the project viable by providing relief for the greatest operational cost of the project."
- According to the Oslo Wind, LLC's parent company Apex Clean Energy. news release dated September 30, 2016, "Apex Clean Energy has acquired the Novus IV wind energy project from Novus Windpower, LLC, ... The project, which is located in Hansford County and Sherman County, Texas, in the north Texas Panhandle, has the potential to bring 360 MW of wind energy into the Southwest Power Pool market ... 'Novus IV is an excellent project in a strategic location,' said Mark Goodwin, president of Apex. 'The Texas and Oklahoma Panhandle region has some of the best wind in the country, and we are pleased to be partnering with Novus Windpower to bring this project through construction and into operations.'... Novus IV is now known as Oslo Wind."
- According to the company's website, the applicant has named Hansford and Sherman Counties as the only site for the Oslo Wind project at the time the application was submitted.

### **Supporting Information**

- a) Section 8 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value
- b) Attachments provided in Tab 5 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value
- c) Additional information provided by the Applicant or located by the Comptroller

**Disclaimer:** This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

# **Supporting Information**

Section 8 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value



## Application for Appraised Value Limitation on Qualified Property

	SECTION 6: Eligibility Under Tax Code Chapter 313.024			BOLE	
1	Are you an entity subject to the tax under Tax Code, Chapter 171?		/ Yes		No
2	2. The property will be used for one of the following activities:				
	(1) manufacturing		Yes	$\checkmark$	No
	(2) research and development		Yes	$\checkmark$	No
	(3) a clean coal project, as defined by Section 5.001, Water Code		Yes	$\checkmark$	No
	(4) an advanced clean energy project, as defined by Section 382.003, Health and Safety Code		Yes	<b>V</b>	No
	(5) renewable energy electric generation		Yes		No
	(6) electric power generation using integrated gasification combined cycle technology		Yes	<b>V</b>	No
	(7) nuclear electric power generation		Yes	1	No
	(8) a computer center that is used as an integral part or as a necessary auxiliary part for the activity conducted to applicant in one or more activities described by Subdivisions (1) through (7)	эу	Yes	<b>✓</b>	No
	(9) a Texas Priority Project, as defined by 313.024(e)(7) and TAC 9.1051		Yes	1	No
3.	3. Are you requesting that any of the land be classified as qualified investment?		Yes		No
4.	Will any of the proposed qualified investment be leased under a capitalized lease?		Yes		No
5.	i. Will any of the proposed qualified investment be leased under an operating lease?		Yes		No
6.	3. Are you including property that is owned by a person other than the applicant?	1	Yes		No
7.	Will any property be pooled or proposed to be pooled with property owned by the applicant in determining the amount	nt of		V	110
	your qualified investment?		Yes	1	No
	SECTION 7: Project Description	THE WILL		70	
1.	In <b>Tab 4</b> , attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and pla sonal property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant in	anned use of real	and tar	ngible p	er-
2.	. Check the project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:	morridaen.			
	Land has no existing improvements	complete Section	1 13)		
	Expansion of existing operation on the land (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas				
S	SECTION 8: Limitation as Determining Factor		TI,		
1.	Does the applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur?		Yes	1	No
2.	Has the applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project?		Yes		No
	Does the applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur?		Yes		No
	Has the applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the proposed project location?		Yes		No
5.	Has the applicant received any local or state permits for activities on the proposed project site?		Yes		No
			Yes		Vo.
		1220	Yes		No
8.	Has the applicant provided capital investment or return on investment information for the proposed project in comparis	eon	103	' '	•••
	with other alternative investment opportunities?		Yes	V	No
	Has the applicant provided information related to the applicant's inputs, transportation and markets for the proposed projection	L	Yes	✓ N	10
	. Are you submitting information to assist in the determination as to whether the limitation on appraised value is a determination in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in Texas?	√	Yes		lo
Cha	apter 313.026(e) states "the applicant may submit information to the Comptroller that would provide a basis for der Subsection (c)(2)." If you answered "yes" to any of the questions in Section 8, attach supporting information	· an affirmative d n in Tab 5.	etermi	nation	

For more information, visit our website: www.TexasAhead.org/tax\_programs/chapter313/

# **Supporting Information**

Attachments provided in Tab 5 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value

#### Tab 5

## Documentation to assist in determining if limitation is a determining factor

Apex, the ultimate parent company of Oslo Wind, has been in the renewable energy sector for over seven years and has capabilities in the development, financing, construction and operation of over 10,000 MW of independent power assets throughout the United States, of which over 1000 MW have the opportunity to be developed in Texas.

The Project is in the middle stages of development as of the date of this application. This Project was selected as a candidate to explore for development because of the fertile wind resource and investment made in Hansford County and Sherman County, access to the SPP market, and the favorable property tax incentives under the Tax Code, including Chapter 312 Tax Abatement and Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation.

The approval of the Project's application for a Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation remains an essential factor in the Project being selected for development by Apex. A myriad of variables remain undetermined at this early stage, and Apex could elect to allocate resources to other developable counties and/or states competing for similar projects where Apex has land interests.

Apex would like to develop and build its proposed project but, given the number of undetermined variables at this early stage, a Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation Agreement is necessary to make the economics of the project viable by providing relief for the greatest operational cost of the project. If Apex cannot secure a Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation Agreement, resources will be likely reallocated to other developable counties and/or states competing for similar projects where Apex has land interests. Apex is currently developing other sites within a four hour drive of the Project site in Oklahoma and Kansas, including:

- a. A large wind farm south set of Elk City Oklahoma;
- b. A large wind farm west of Woodward Oklahoma;
- c. A large wind farm in Caddo County Oklahoma; and
- d. A large wind farm about 100 miles north of Hays Kansas.

# **Supporting Information**

Additional information provided by the Applicant or located by the Comptroller

CAREERS | NEWS | CONTACT | EMPLOYEE LOGIN









ABOUT

**PROJECTS** 

FOR COMMUNITIES

FOR BUSINESS PARTNERS

FOR LANDOWNERS

VIDEO

Home » Apex Clean Energy Acquires Wind Energy Asset from Novus Windpower

# APEX CLEAN ENERGY ACQUIRES WIND ENERGY ASSET FROM **NOVUS WINDPOWER**

Charlottesville, VA - September 30, 2016 - Apex Clean Energy has acquired the Novus IV wind energy project from Novus Windpower, LLC, headquartered in Guymon, Oklahoma. The project, which is located in Hansford County and Sherman County, Texas, in the north Texas Panhandle, has the potential to bring 360 MW of wind energy into the Southwest Power Pool market and could begin construction as early as 2017.

"Novus IV is an excellent project in a strategic location," said Mark Goodwin, president of Apex. "The Texas and Oklahoma Panhandle region has some of the best wind in the country, and we are pleased to be partnering with Novus Windpower to bring this project through construction and into operations."

"We are pleased to have concluded this transaction with Apex, which has an excellent track record for developing and completing projects in the Texas/Oklahoma Panhandles," said Soren Nielsen, co-founder of Novus Windpower. "We have always enjoyed strong local support in our development area, and we are excited to be working with Apex to bring this large wind energy project online as well."

Novus IV is now known as Oslo Wind.

#### About Apex

Apex Clean Energy builds, owns, and operates utility-scale wind and solar power facilities. Last year, Apex was the market leader in the United States, with 1,042 megawatts of new wind capacity installations, enough clean energy to supply the population of a city the size of Boston or San Francisco each year for the life of the facilities.

With a team of over 200 professionals and the nation's largest wind energy project pipeline, Apex is a leader in the transition to a clean energy future. For more information, visit www.apexcleanenergy.com.

### **About Novus Windpower**

Novus Windpower was formed in 2006 to focus specifically on the development of large, centralized wind energy facilities in the Texas/Oklahoma Panhandles. With this transaction now closed, nearly 1,300 MW of wind energy projects have been developed and sold, and an additional 1,200 MW remain under development by Novus Windpower. For more information, visit <a href="https://www.novuswind.com">www.novuswind.com</a>.

### **Apex Clean Energy Media Contact**

Steve Bowers
Vice President, Marketing and Communications
434-270-7487
steve.bowers@apexcleanenergy.com

### **COMPANY PROFILE**

Established

2009

**Employees** 

Over 200

Capacity Operating/ Under Construction Over 2,200 MW

Portfolio Capacity

Over 12,000 MW

Headquarters

Charlottesville, VA



Court Square Building

Phone: 434.220.7595

CAREERS | NEWS | CONTACT | EMPLOYEE LOGIN











**ABOUT** 

**PROJECTS** 

FOR COMMUNITIES

FOR BUSINESS PARTNERS

FOR LANDOWNERS

**VIDEO** 

Home » South » Oslo Wind

# OSLO WIND

COUNTY: Hansford and Sherman

**STATE:** Texas

COMPLETED: Exp. 2018

**CAPACITY: 360 MW** 

**HOMES POWERED: 133,000** 

